

MORE SIGNATURES OF THE INTERMEDIATE MASS HIGGS
BOSON

Pankaj Agrawal

*Institute of Physics**Sachivalaya Marg**Bhubaneswar, Orissa 751005 India***Abstract**

We examine the potential signatures of the Higgs boson when it decays into a tau-lepton pair. We show that with the proper identification of the tau-jet, this decay mode can lead to the identification of the Higgs boson over most of the intermediate mass range ($M_W < M_H < 2 M_W$).

Recent experiments at the LEP (a e^-e^+ collider), the Tevatron (a $p\bar{p}$ collider), the HERA (a ep collider) machines, and a host of other experiments have tested the standard model in quite diverse situations. Still there is no established disagreement with the model. However one important part of the standard model, *viz.*, the Higgs mechanism, has not been yet validated. One of the important consequences of this mechanism is the existence of a neutral scalar boson, the Higgs boson. The existence of the Higgs boson was predicted more than 30 years ago and there have been active searches for this particle. More recently experiments at the LEP machine have set a lower bound on the mass of the Higgs boson, $M_H > O(80)$ GeV [1]. This lower bound can go as high as 90 – 100 GeV in coming years. Beyond this mass range, the Higgs boson can be searched for at the LHC machine. (If high luminosity option at the Tevatron, TeV33, is realized, then the Higgs boson up to a mass of 130 – 140 GeV can also be searched at this machine.) The CMS and ATLAS collaborations are optimizing their detectors at the LHC machine so as to be able to identify specific Higgs boson signatures. In this letter, we focus on the intermediate mass range, $M_W < M_H < 2 M_W$, of the Higgs boson and identify some more signatures of this particle.

The popular signature in the lower intermediate mass range ($M_W < M_H < 130 - 140$ GeV) has been “isolated two photons” [2]. This signal arises when the Higgs boson decays into two photons ($H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$), after being produced through gluon annihilation, $gg \rightarrow H$. (This process is at the lowest order; higher order processes are also important.) This decay mode of the Higgs boson is a rare decay channel with a branching ratio of the order of 10^{-3} in the relevant mass range. The CMS collaboration detector at the LHC machine is specifically designed so that it could identify a photon with sufficient precision and overcome the background of the direct two photon production. If design precisions are achieved, this signature will have a good significance (Here significance is defined as $\frac{S}{\sqrt{B}}$, where S is the number of signal events and B is the number of background events.), but poor signal-to-background ratio. There has also been at least one other signature, “exclusive isolated $e/\mu + 2$ bottom jets”, that have been advocated in the past. Leading order parton level calculations [3,4,5] have shown that this signature can be useful. But, a study [6] based on event generators has cast doubt on the utility of this signature. However, as has been pointed out [5,7], an appropriate modification

of this signature by including an extra ‘soft’ jet, *i.e.*, “exclusive isolated $e/\mu + 2$ bottom jets + a ‘soft’ jet”, can still be useful. This signature is based on the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ in the relevant mass range. In the upper intermediate mass region ($130 - 140 \text{ GeV} < M_H < 2 M_W$), the decay mode $H \rightarrow Z^0 Z^*$ can provide useful signatures [8]. In this letter, we suggest some other possible useful signatures in the intermediate mass range which are based on the decay mode $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$, after the Higgs boson has been produced through the process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$.

The decay mode $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ is sub-dominant in the intermediate mass range. But there are at least two features of this decay mode that are in its favor: 1) The decay products are leptons, so there will be less of a strong interaction background; 2) the branching ratio is not very small, about 5% in the lower intermediate mass region (decreasing sharply only after about $M_H > 150 \text{ GeV}$, as M_H approaches the WW threshold). At the same time there is at least one feature of this decay mode that is not in its favor, *viz.*, a tau-lepton always has a neutrino in its decay products. Therefore one cannot fully reconstruct the four-momentum of the tau-lepton; which in turn means there is no resonance in the $M(\tau\tau)$ distribution. (One can attempt to reconstruct the four-momentum of the tau-lepton in this decay mode by using the fact that tau-lepton has a small mass and will have large momentum.) However, as we shall see, one can use this decay mode without reconstructing $M(\tau\tau)$. The largest event rate for this channel occurs when the Higgs boson is produced through gluon annihilation, $gg \rightarrow H$. But due to large backgrounds, this is not a useful mechanism. The usefulness of other production mechanism, $pp \rightarrow Z^0 HX$ and $pp \rightarrow WHX$ for this decay mode are not yet fully established. Our focus here will be on the production mechanism $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$.

A tau-lepton can decay purely leptonically, or semi-leptonically, *i.e.*, into hadrons and a neutrino. When a tau-lepton decays semileptonically, at large p_T , it manifests itself as a jet, a tau-jet. This tau-jet differs in important ways from a parton-initiated jet. The tau-lepton has a small mass and is color singlet. Because of this a tau-jet is a narrow stream of at most a few pions. Low multiplicity and the narrowness sets a tau-jet apart from a parton-initiated jet, specially at the LHC where a parton-initiated jet will have a very high multiplicity of hadrons in it. Tau-jets have been identified at both e^-e^+ and hadron colliders [9]. It can be

hoped that at the LHC, a tau-jet can be identified with a very good precision. Still, there will be some probability for a few hadrons to stray away from a parton-initiated jet and mimic a tau-jet. However probability of such faking will certainly be lower than that of a jet faking a bottom jet. This later probability is about a percent. Therefore in this study, we are assuming that a tau-jet can be identified at the LHC with a contamination level of less than a percent.

The production mechanism, $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$, with the subsequent decay $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ can lead to a number of useful signatures. These different signatures come about depending on: a) what decay modes of the top quark we look at for the top quark and the anti-top quark; b) what particles we identify in the final state; c) whether we tag a bottom jet or not. More isolated leptons in the signature would mean less of a background. An isolated lepton in the signature means a W/Z boson in the background (directly produced or through the top quark decay for the W boson), which tends to reduce the background. Also minimizing the number of jets in the signature leads to a smaller background, because jets can originate through strong interaction processes. In brief, the guiding philosophy to choose a good signature is: more of leptons and less of jets. We hasten to add that this philosophy can lead to smaller number of events of the signal. So sometimes one has to strike a balance. Below we present a few signatures of the Higgs boson in the intermediate mass range. Some of these signatures are analyzed in more detail than the other.

1) **Four-lepton signatures:**

These signatures have electron, muon and tau-leptons in it. As discussed above, the tau-lepton will manifest itself as a tau-jet. We will enumerate these signatures below. These signatures occur when the Higgs boson is produced with a pair of top quarks ($pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$) and subsequently both top quarks decay semi-leptonically and the Higgs boson decays into a tau-lepton pair. Afterwards tau-leptons decay into hadrons and neutrino, thus giving rise to tau-jets. All of these signatures will have $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ as the major background. (The process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma^*X(\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ and its interference with $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ (with the Z^0 decay) will also be a background. However a p_T cut of order 15 GeV on a tau-jet, which we shall be making, will suppress it relative to the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ process.) We shall call such backgrounds

as direct-backgrounds. As we discussed earlier, there is also a probability of a parton-initiated jet to fake a tau-jet, therefore we shall have to consider some additional backgrounds. We shall call such backgrounds mimic-backgrounds. Since four-lepton signatures have a pair of tau-jets, the major mimic-backgrounds will be $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}jjX$ and $pp \rightarrow WWjjX$. However, we shall assume that this mimic-probability is less than a percent, and thus ignore these mimic-backgrounds. We would note that even if mimic-probability is a few percent, these backgrounds would not be significantly larger than the signal. (The processes $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$ and $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}jX$ can also be backgrounds when both the top quarks decay semi-leptonically and the resulting bottom jets mimic tau jets. We are ignoring these backgrounds assuming such mimic probability to be quite small. In any case, the signal will have accompanying jets. Observation of even one of them will reduce the backgrounds further.) Let us now discuss various four-lepton signatures.

1a) “*isolated $e\mu$ and two tau-jets + missing p_T* ”: This signature occurs when one of the top quarks decays into an electron (and other particles), while the other top quark decays into a muon (and other particles). (For the purpose of our discussion, the distinction between the top quark and anti-top quark is immaterial; therefore to avoid oblique language, we shall refer to top quark. It will be understood that this top quark could be an anti-top quark.) After $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$, the next significant direct background will be $pp \rightarrow WWZ^0X$; this will be a background when the two W -bosons decay into $e(\mu)$ and neutrino, while the Z^0 -boson decays into a tau-lepton pair. However, contribution of this process to the signature will be about an order of magnitude smaller than the signal contribution. This background is smaller, because this is a electroweak process. In Table 1, we present contribution of the signal and the major background $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ to this signature.. We have used PYTHIA 5.7 [10] to compute with basic cuts on the observables. Without cuts we have compared the PYTHIA results with a parton level calculation of $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$ and $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$. We find reasonable agreement. We have applied following basic cuts:

$$p_T^{e,\mu,\tau} > 15 \text{ GeV}; \quad |\eta|^{e,\mu,\tau} < 2.5; \quad \Delta R(\ell, \ell') > 0.6. \quad (1)$$

Here the index τ stands for tau-jet not the tau-lepton. η is pseudo-rapidity and $\Delta R(\ell, \ell') =$

$$\sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}.$$

In running PYTHIA, we have used its proper handling of the tau-lepton decay. We have not used its full functionality. This is because we are looking at inclusive leptonic signatures. Initial and final state radiations as well as hadronization should not affect our results in any significant way.

At the LHC machine ($\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV), in the mass range $M_H = 80 - 140$ GeV and $M_t = 175$ GeV, without any cuts or branching ratios, the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$ cross-section varies between $2.1 - 0.41$ pb. The similar cross-section for the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ is about 0.45 pb. The $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ branching ratio varies from 5.6 to 3.1% . The numbers in the table are for integrated luminosity of 10^5 pb $^{-1}$. We see that as we go from the Higgs boson mass of 80 to 140 GeV, effect of the cuts decreases. This is simply because, as M_H increases, the p_T of the tau-lepton gets harder; so does the p_T of the tau-jets. Because of this harder p_T distribution, the reduction in the event rate gets smaller. We also note that the cross-section for the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ process is not large compared to the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$ process (in the intermediate mass region of the Higgs boson). This happens because the $t\bar{t}H$ coupling is proportional to $\frac{M_t}{M_W}$ ($M_t = 175$ GeV and $M_W = 80$ GeV) and the mass of the Higgs boson is of same order as the Z -boson.

1b) “*isolated $e(\mu)$ pair and two tau-jets + missing p_T* ”: This signature comes to be when both top quarks of the signal decay into electrons or muons. In this case, compared to the signature 1a), there is one additional direct-background: $pp \rightarrow Z^0Z^0X$. Without any suppression cuts, this will be the largest direct-background. However, the mass-distribution of the electron/muon pair from the Z^0 decay will be sharply peaked at the mass of the Z -boson; while this distribution for the signal will be quite diffused because the two electrons/muons will come from the decay of different top quarks. Therefore a cut in this distribution, can eliminate this background. We are then left with the same backgrounds as in the case of the signature 1a). In the Table 1, we also give results for this signature, with the same cuts, as the signature 1a). This is basically just a multiplication by a factor of 2. We see that naive significance varies about from 25 to 4 . We have not listed them in the table, because these numbers only indicate the usefulness of the signatures. Actual values may be lower if the

mimic-probability is not less than one percent. Even with few percent mimic-probability, we can have reasonably good significance for the lower intermediate mass region. We also notice that the accumulated integrated luminosity of the order of $3 - 5 \times 10^4$ may even be good enough for the detection.

1c) “*isolated $e(\mu)$ and three tau-jet + missing p_T* ”: This signature occurs when, one of the top quark of the signal decays into a tau-lepton (and other particles), while the other top quark decays into an electron/muon (and other particles). The number of signal events in this background will be smaller by at-least a factor one-third (compared to that in signatures 1a) and 1b)). This is because of the branching ratio for $\tau \rightarrow \text{hadrons} + \nu_\tau$ is about 64%. In addition there will be a new mimic-background: $pp \rightarrow WjjjX$. Both of these potential problems occur because now we have a third tau-jet in the signature. The usefulness of this signature will depend on how well one can discriminate a tau-jet from a parton-initiated jet.

2) Three-lepton Signatures:

These signatures will have one tau-jet and the other two leptons can be either both electrons/muons or one can be electron and the other muon. (The three-lepton signature with more than one tau-lepton will suffer from the large mimic-background of $pp \rightarrow Z^0 jX$.) In the case of this signature we observe only one tau-lepton, while the second tau-lepton from the Higgs boson decay is left unobserved. The usefulness of three-lepton signatures lies in having larger signal events, as compared to the four-lepton signatures. This happens because we do not loose in observing second tau-jet in terms of $\tau \rightarrow \text{hadrons} + \nu_\tau$ branching ratio and do not have to apply cuts (so have more phase space available). The major direct-backgrounds for this signature are: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ and $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}WX$. The contribution of the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ will increase, because the top quarks and Z-boson can now decay in many more ways to three-leptons. However, it will only be about a factor of two larger than the signal. The contribution of the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}WX$ will be of same level as the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ background. This is because the cross-section of $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}WX$ at the LHC machine is about a factor of three smaller than the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$ process [11], but the branching ratio $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ is about a factor of three smaller than $W \rightarrow \ell\nu_\ell$. (The cross-section of $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}WX$ is smaller than $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z^0X$, because the latter process can occur through gluon annihilation, but the

former cannot.) One additional background, $pp \rightarrow WWWX$, is again quite small, because of being an electroweak process. Despite all this, the direct-backgrounds will be manageable, because we have more signal events and we have to take square root of the background to compute the naive significance. The major threat to this signature could be the mimic-backgrounds: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}jX$ and $pp \rightarrow WWjX$. Therefore, unless the mimic-probability of a parton-initiated jet mimicking a tau-jet can be sufficiently small, of the order of 10^{-4} or smaller, there could be problems with this signature. If the mimic-probability is sufficiently small, then the potentially useful signatures can be: “isolated $e\mu$ and a tau-jet + missing p_T ” and “isolated $e(\mu)$ pair and a tau-jet + missing p_T ”. The “isolated $e(\mu)$ pair and a tau-jet + missing p_T ” would appear to have a large background from $pp \rightarrow WZ^0X$ process; however, as in the case of signatures 1b), a cut on the di-lepton mass, for the electrons/muons from the Z-boson decay, can eliminate this background.

3) **Three-lepton and multijet:**

We can increase the number of signal events by observing that class of events where one of the top quarks in the process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$ decays hadronically, *i.e.*, into three jets. While the branching ratio for $t \rightarrow be(\mu)\nu$ is $\frac{2}{9}$, for the decay $t \rightarrow 3$ jets it is $\frac{6}{9}$. So in principle, we could have a gain of a factor of 3 in the number of signal events over that the signature 2 and even more over the four-lepton signatures. In reality, because of identifying experimental cuts, the gain in the signal events will be somewhat smaller. The background events will also be correspondingly higher, because now we can have strong interaction production of jets also. Below we discuss some of the signatures of this class.

3a) “*isolated $e(\mu)$ and two tau-jet + ≥ 3 jets+ missing p_T* ”: The largest direct background will be from $pp \rightarrow WZ^0X + \geq 3$ jets. As in case of the top-quark searches, these backgrounds would be manageable. Tagging one or more bottom jets will help further. However the mimic-backgrounds can be significant. These will be due to: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$, $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}jX$, $pp \rightarrow W + \geq 5$ jets. Low mimic-probability will be important for the suppression of these backgrounds.

3b) “*isolated three tau-jet + ≥ 3 jets+ missing p_T* ”: Here backgrounds will be similar to that of signature 3a). However, as discussed above, we shall have smaller signal events,

because of one extra tau-jet identification.

4) **Two-lepton and multijet:**

As discussed in the case of signature 2, we can enhance the signal by identifying smaller number of leptons. The signal will have same origin as that in the signature 3, except we detect only one of the tau-lepton that the Higgs boson decays into. Some of the possible signatures in this category are: “isolated $e(\mu)$ and a tau-jet + ≥ 3 jets+ missing p_T ”, “isolated two tau-jet + ≥ 5 jets+ missing p_T ”, “isolated $e(\mu)$ and a tau-jet + a bottom jet + missing p_T ”. However all of them are likely to suffer from the large $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + \geq 0$ jet background, which is one to two orders of magnitude higher than the signal. Because of very similar topology of the signal and the background, it may also be difficult to device cuts to suppress the background.

5) **One-lepton and multijet:**

When both top quarks of the process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}HX$ decays hadronically, and we observe only one of the tau-lepton into which the Higgs boson decays, we would get this signature. There will be largest signal events in this signature (of all the signatures that we have discussed). This signature, “isolated tau-jet + 6 jets + missing p_T ”, will have direct-background from $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + 2$ jets and $pp \rightarrow W + 6$ jets and the mimic-background from $pp \rightarrow 7$ jets. It may be difficult to separate the signal from the background.

In conclusion, we find that, at the LHC machine, one should be able to observe the Higgs boson, if it exists and is in the intermediate mass range, through ‘four-lepton’ and ‘three-lepton + multijet’ signatures. In particular, signatures “isolated $e\mu$ and two tau-jets + missing p_T ” and “isolated $e(\mu)$ pair and two tau-jets + missing p_T ” appear to be quite useful. With enough accumulated luminosity, at the LHC machine, one should be able to search for the Higgs boson in the mass region of 80 – 150 GeV. In some classes of the supersymmetric models, a scalar boson of properties similar to the standard model exists. Same techniques can be used to identify this scalar particle also.

This work was completed at the 5th Workshop on High Energy Physics Phenomenology (WHEPP-5) which was held in IUCAA, Pune, India. I thank the organisers of the workshop.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banerjee, Talk presented at WHEPP5, Pune, India (January 1998).
- [2] ATLAS Letter of Intent, CERN/LHCC 92-4 (October 1992); CMS Letter of Intent, CERN/LHCC 92-3 (October 1992).
- [3] P. Agrawal and S. Ellis, *Phys. Lett.* **B229** (1989) 145.
- [4] A. Stange, W. Marciano, and S. Willenbrock, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 1354 ;
Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 4491.
- [5] P. Agrawal, D. Bowser-Chao and K. Cheung, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 6114.
- [6] D. Froidevaux and E. Richter-Was, preprint CERN-TH 7459/94.
- [7] P. Agrawal and M. Kar, IOP preprint IP/BBSR/97-31.
- [8] J.F. Gunion *et al.*, The Higgs Hunter's Guide, Addison-Wesley (1990).
- [9] The CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 3585.
- [10] H.-U. Bengtsson and T. Sjostrand, *Computer Physics Commun.* 46 (1987) 43.
- [11] V. Barger, A. Stange and R. J. N. Phillips, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 1484.

M_H (GeV)	Signal 1a)		Background		Signal 1a) + 1b) with cuts	Total Background
	no cuts	with cuts	no cuts	with cuts		
80	119	41	15	5	82	10
100	67	27	15	5	54	10
120	36	16	15	5	32	10
140	13	7	15	5	14	10

Table 1. The signal and the background rates at the LHC machine energy, $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, with the integrated luminosity of 10^5 pb^{-1} without any cuts and the cuts specified in the text. These for the signatures 1a), “isolated $e\mu$ and two tau-jets + missing p_T ”, and 1b), “isolated $e(\mu)$ pair and two tau-jets + missing p_T ”.